# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/841,719	04/24/2001	Gregorz J. Czajkowski	SUN-P5695-RSH	6289		
22835	7590 06/14/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 508 SECOND STREET			ANYA, CHARLES E			
SUITE 201 DAVIS, CA 95616			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2126			

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summany	09/841,71	9	CZAJKOWSKI ET	AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Charles E		2126			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ion. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 April 2001.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)		
J.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (F	rademark Office Rev. 1-04) O	ffice Action Summa	r <b>y</b> Pa	nt of Paper No./Mail D	ate 20040611		

Application/Control Number: 09/841,719 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application.

2. For full consideration of this application please furnish the office with the prior art references cited on pages 3,4 and 6, namely "Efficient software fault isolation" by Wahbe et al., "Safe Kernel Extensions without Runtime Checking" by Necula et al, "TALx86: A Realistic Typed Assembly Language" by Morrisett et al. and "A Case for Embedding the JVM into Apps" by Morganthal et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8,10-17 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,442,752 B1 to Jennings et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,574,673 B1 to Hari et al.
- 5. As to claim 1, Jennings teaches a method to automate isolation of native code within a computer program that has been compiled to a code ("...first environment.../...second environment..." Col. 4 Ln. 17 22), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2126

receiving a library containing a native code sub-routine, wherein the native code sub-routine provides a service to the computer program (first DLL Col. 4 Ln. 44 – 50, figure 6 Col. 35 – 38), analyzing the library to determine a defined symbol name for the native code sub-routine ("...identify..." Col. 4 Ln. 44 – 50, figure 6 Col. 35 – 38), creating a proxy sub-routine for the native code sub-routine, wherein the proxy sub-routine forms a link to the native code sub-routine ("... source code skeleton..." Col. 4 Ln. 44 – 50, figure 6 Col. 39 – 45), placing the proxy sub-routine into a new library using the defined symbol name of the native code sub-routine as a symbol for the proxy sub-routine ("... second DLL..." Col. 4 Ln. 44 – 67, figure 6 Col. 55 – 63), running the native code sub-routine in a first process ("... second environment..." Col. 4 Ln. 17 – 22), executing the code in a second process ("... first environment..." Col. 4 Ln. 17 – 22) and invoking the native code sub-routine in the first process by calling the proxy sub-routine from the code in the second process (Col. 4 Ln. 17 – 22).

- 6. Jennings is silent with reference to a computer program that has been compiled to a platform-independent code, however Jennings does indicate the application/program code (application 54) could be an interpreted language (Col. 17 Ln. 17 34).
- 7. This notwithstanding Hari teaches a computer program that been compiled to a platform-independent code (figure 3 Col. 6 Ln. 45 67, Col. 7 Ln. 1 14).
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made combine the teachings of Hari and Jennings because the teaching

Application/Control Number: 09/841,719 Page 4

Art Unit: 2126

of Hari would improve the system of Jennings by providing code that would invoke native routines (Col. 7 Ln. 7 - 14).

- 9. As to claim 2, Hari teaches the method of claim further comprising: providing a proxy platform-independent native interface (PINI) to the library containing the native code and transparently transforming local PINI calls into calls to the proxy PINI wherein transforming local calls to the proxy PINI preserves an original control flow, wherein upcalls and downcalls are guaranteed to be executed by a same thread of a process that executes the native code sub-routine (figure 2 "... proxy routines..." Col. Col. 6 Ln. 11 42).
- 10. As to claim 3, the method of claim 1, wherein analyzing the library to determine the defined symbol name includes analyzing the library to determine call arguments for the defined symbol name (Col. 7 Ln. 35 38).
- 11. As to claim 4, Although Jennings as modified by Hari is silent with reference to the method of claim 3, wherein analyzing the library to determine call arguments for the defined symbol name is accomplished at runtime by analyzing a current call frame, this limitation would be inherent since the parameters and procedure names would in its stack frame.

Art Unit: 2126

- 12. As to claim 5, Jennings teaches the method of claim 3, further comprising copying call arguments from the proxy sub-routine to a call to the native sub-routine (Col. 4 Ln. 58 63, Col. 7 Ln. 50 55).
- 13. As to claim 6, Jennings teaches the method of claim 3, further comprising returning a result value from the native code sub-routine to the proxy sub-routine (Col. 5 Ln. 13 20).
- 14. As to claim 7, Jennings teaches the method of claim wherein operations in the first process are isolated from memory and other system resources belonging the second process so that an error in the first does not, one of, corrupt memory belonging to the second process and interface with the second process in anyway (Col. 4 Ln. 17 22, Col. 8 Ln. 22 28, figure 12 Col. 12 Ln. 49 64).
- 15. As to claim 8, Jennings teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the proxy subroutine and the native code sub-routine communicate through inter-process communication (Col. 8 Ln. 22 28, figure 12 Col. 12 Ln. 49 64).
- 16. As to claims 10 17 and 19 26, see the rejection of claims 1 8 respectively.
- 17. Claims 9,18 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,442,752 B1 to Jennings et al. in view of U.S. Pat.

Art Unit: 2126

No. 6,574,673 B1 to Hari et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,481,006 B1 to Blandy et al.

- 18. As to claim 9, Jennings and Hari as modified as silent with reference to the method of claim 1 wherein forming the link to the native code sub-routine includes translating a data element from a first address width in the computer program to a second address width in the native code sub-routine.
- 19. Blandy teaches the method of claim 1 wherein forming the link to the native code sub-routine includes translating a data element from a first address width in the computer program to a second address width in the native code sub-routine (Col. 5 Ln. 13 26).
- 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Blandy, Jennings and Hari because the teaching of Blandy would improve the system of Jennings and Hari by providing floating point values conversion (Col.  $5 \, \text{Ln.} \, 13 26$ ).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Friday off.

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, An Meng-Ai can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2126

cea.

meng-al t. an

STEERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
CHNOLOGY CENTER 2100